Application No. 10/822,049 Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

REMARKS

To more particularly recite the presently claimed invention, Applicant has amended

claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-13 and 15-17, and canceled claims 3, 11, 14 and 18-20 to more

particularly recite what Applicant regards as his invention. It is believed that no new

matter is added to the amended claims.

The claims have been amended to cover a particular aspect of the present invention,

which provides, e.g., in claim 1, a vehicle tire comprising voids comprising removable

inserts, o-rings or plugs that comprise at least one scent or fragrance that is released

by friction, heat or wear when the vehicle with the vehicle tire is driven.

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to page 1 of the specification

for the lack of reference to 35 USC 119(e) after listing priority benefit. Applicant has

amended the specification at page 1 as suggested by the Examiner. Applicant

requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to claims 1-20 under 35 USC

112, second paragraph, asserting that the use of the term "tire is a rest" in claim 1, line

6, should be "tire is at rest". Applicant has deleted this term, rendering this objection

moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal are requested.

On page 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to claims 1-20 under 35 USC

112, second paragraph, asserting that the use of various terms is unclear. The

Examiner objects to the terms novelty, unique, aroma, salient, distinctive and

marketable feature, in claims 1-20, as well as "intended for and allows" in claim 6,

with regard to novelty plug and insert, are considered unclear by the Examiner.

Applicant has amended the claims to remove these terms, rendering this rejection

moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal are requested.

The Examiner objected to the recitation of void in Claim 6 as unclear as to whether

void or tire is being claimed. Applicant has amended his claim to recite tire, and

reconsideration and withdrawal are requested.

Application No. 10/822,049 Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

The Examiner objects to claims 10-20 as ambiguous as to what is being claimed,

including terms such as permutation, may be installed, customization to comprise

endless variants, antecedent basis for installation in claim 19, erodible material

composition in claims 11-12, requirement of tire or plug in claims 18-20.

Applicant has amended or canceled the objected to claims to address these objections

and submits that the presently amended claims are definite and clear under 35 USC

112, second paragraph. Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of these

objections.

On pages 3-4 of the Office Action, Claims 2, 3, and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR

1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject

matter of the previous claim.

Applicants has amended claims 2 and 3 and canceled claim 14 to be of proper

dependent form and requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

On pages 4-5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1 and 5 under 35 USC

section 102(a) and (b) as being anticipated by Japan 873 (JP 2002 -114873). The

Examiner asserts that this reference discloses a pneumatic tire comprising perfume-

containing particles provided as part of the tread material, which emit aroma and

inherently having the color black.

Applicant has amended rejected independent claim 1 (and dependent claim 5) to

incorporate the void, insert, and plug elements of claim 6 into claim 1, rendering this

rejection moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

On page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1 and 5 under 35 USC

section 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 003 (JP 7 -69003). The Examiner

asserts that this reference discloses a pneumatic tire comprising perfume in outer

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

surface included in rubber composition of the tread or spraying perfume on the tread,

emitted when tire is displayed in the store.

Applicant has amended rejected independent claim 1 (and dependent claim 5) to

incorporate the void, insert, and plug elements of claim 6 into claim 1, rendering this

rejection moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

On page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claim 2 under 35 USC 103(a) as

unpatentable over Japan 873 or Japan 003, in view of Berliner (US 5278141), for the

reasons presented in the Office Action.

The presently claimed invention covers vehicle tires comprising removable plugs, o-

rings or inserts that comprise at least one perfume or fragrance that is given off due to

wear, heat or friction when the tire is driven on, which invention is not suggested or

taught by the cited references, alone or in combination. Additionally there is no

motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the presently

claimed combination.

Japan 873 and Japan 003 fail to suggest the presently claimed invention and Berliner

disclosing (according to the Examiner) combining pheromones with fragrances fails

to make up for the deficiencies of the primary references.

Accordingly, the cited references fail to disclose, teach or suggest each and every

element of the present claims. The present claims distinguish over the cited

reference(s). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

On page 5-6 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 3 and 4 under 35 USC

103(a) as unpatentable over Japan 873 or Japan 003, in view of Williams (US

6220199), for the reasons presented in the Office Action.

The presently claimed invention covers vehicle tires comprising removable plugs, o-

rings or inserts that comprise at least one perfume or fragrance that is given off due to

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

wear, heat or friction when the tire is driven on, which invention is not suggested or

taught by the cited references, alone or in combination. Additionally there is no

motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the presently

claimed combination.

Japan 873 and Japan 003 fail to suggest the presently claimed invention and Williams

suggesting (according to the Examiner) viscous wear warning liquid (not presently

claimed) fails to make up for the deficiencies of the primary references.

Accordingly, the cited references fail to disclose, teach or suggest each and every

element of the present claims. The present claims distinguish over the cited

reference(s). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

On page 6-8 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 3-11 and 13-20 under

35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Japan 873 or Japan 003, in view of one of Coben

(US 2272891), Japan 908, and French 340, for the reasons presented in the Office

Action.

The presently claimed invention covers vehicle tires comprising removable plugs, o-

rings or inserts that comprise at least one perfume or fragrance that is given off due to

wear, heat or friction when the tire is driven on, which invention is not suggested or

taught by the cited references, alone or in combination. Additionally there is no

motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the presently

claimed combination.

Japan 873 and Japan 003 fail to suggest the presently claimed invention and Coben,

Japan 908 and French 340 suggesting (according to the Examiner) colored o-rings or

grooves for a particular color scheme or colored rubber or tearable cell wear indicator

(not presently claimed) fails to make up for the deficiencies of the primary references.

Accordingly, the cited references fail to disclose, teach or suggest each and every

element of the present claims. The present claims distinguish over the cited

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

reference(s). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

On page 8 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 4, 5, 11 and 13, under 35

USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Japan 873 or Japan 003, in view of at least one of

Coben, Japan 908 and French 340 as above, and further in view of Korea (KR

2004029611), for the reasons presented in the Office Action.

The presently claimed invention covers vehicle tires comprising removable plugs, o-

rings or inserts that comprise at least one perfume or fragrance that is given off due to

wear, heat or friction when the tire is driven on, which invention is not suggested or

taught by the cited references, alone or in combination. Additionally there is no

motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the presently

claimed combination.

Japan 873 and Japan 003 fail to suggest the presently claimed invention and Coben,

Japan 908 and French 340 suggesting (according to the Examiner) colored o-rings or

grooves for a particular color scheme or colored rubber or tearable cell wear indicator

(not presently claimed) fails to make up for the deficiencies of the primary references,

as does Korea's suggestion of a "fragrant material" for wear indication in a tread.

Accordingly, the cited references fail to disclose, teach or suggest each and every

element of the present claims. The present claims distinguish over the cited

reference(s). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

On page 9 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claim 12 under 35 USC 103(a)

as unpatentable over Japan 873 or Japan 003, in view of at least one of Coben, Japan

908 and French 340 as above, and further in view of Stack (CA 2212021), for the

reasons presented in the Office Action.

The presently claimed invention covers vehicle tires comprising removable plugs, o-

rings or inserts that comprise at least one perfume or fragrance that is given off due to

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action

June 14, 2006

wear, heat or friction when the tire is driven on, which invention is not suggested or

taught by the cited references, alone or in combination. Additionally there is no

motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the presently

claimed combination.

Japan 873 and Japan 003 fail to suggest the presently claimed invention and Coben,

Japan 908 and French 340 suggesting (according to the Examiner) colored o-rings or

grooves for a particular color scheme or colored rubber or tearable cell wear indicator

(not presently claimed) fails to make up for the deficiencies of the primary references,

as does Stack's suggestion of a reflective strip or groove to increase safety (not

presently claimed).

Accordingly, the cited references fail to disclose, teach or suggest each and every

element of the present claims. The present claims distinguish over the cited

reference(s). Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

Application No. 10/822,049
Art Unit: 1733
Applicant Response to 03-14-06 Office Action
June 14, 2006

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the present claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant with any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald J. Rosenberger

**Applicant** 

ronrosenberger@hotmail.com

(215) 579-9871

Date: June 14, 2006